

Report Created: 11/3/2016

The Yucaipa Valley Water District is authorized by LAFCO to provide the following functions: water and sewer. The District reports the following major business-type funds: water, sewer, and recycled water. Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) payments and obligations are not identified in the audits. Its service area includes the City of Yucaipa, in Riverside County the City of Calimesa and unincorporated territory in each County.


## **Change in Assessed Value**

### Description

There is a correlation between assessed property value and the receipt of the general property tax levy.

#### Formula: change in tax roll value/beginning tax roll value

#### Source: County Auditor -Agency Net Valuations



Report Created:11/3/2016


## **Property Tax Revenue**

### **Description**

This indicator will have more importance for those agencies heavily reliant upon property tax revenues. As this revenue source is relatively stable and lags about two years behind changes in market conditions, this indicator can potentially depict the level of stability of an agency's revenue base.

# Formula: property tax revenue

#### Source: Statement of Activities; Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance/Net Position





Report Created:11/3/2016


## **Charges for Service (business)**

## Description

Addresses the extent to which charges for service covered total expenses. A ratio of one or higher indicates that the service is self-supporting.

#### Formula: charges for service/operating expenses (minus depreciation)

#### Source: Statement of Activities; Statement of Cash Flows



2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
\$19,826,606	\$20,991,687	\$21,088,682	\$21,837,011	\$21,419,963
\$15,097,241	\$14,654,852	\$14,977,563	\$15,339,180	\$15,554,683
1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4

Report Created:11/3/2016


## Liquidity

### **Description**

Measures a government's ability to meet its short-term obligations. A high ratio suggests a government is able to meet its short-term obligations.

#### Formula: cash & investments (does not include fiscal agents, restricted, or fiduciary)/current liabilities

**Source:** Statement of Net Position





Report Created:11/3/2016


## **Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents (business)**

## Description

Cash and cash equivalents are the most liquid assets of an agency's assets and can be readily converted into cash. A positive percent change indicates that a government's cash position has improved.

#### Formula: change in cash & cash equivalents/begin cash & cash equivalents

**Source:** Statement of Cash Flows



2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
(\$944,798)	(\$5,203,445)	\$1,986,020	\$6,844,343	\$8,070,048
\$15,209,540	\$14,264,742	\$9,061,297	\$11,047,317	\$17,891,660
-6.2%	-36.5%	21.9%	62.0%	45.1%



Report Created:11/3/2016


## **Debt Service (business)**

### Description

Looks at service flexibility by determining the amount of total expenses committed to annual debt service. Service flexibility decreases as more resources are committed to annual debt service.

#### Formula: debt service/operating expenses (minus depreciation)

#### Source: Statement of Cash Flows; Statement of Activities



38.6% 39.7% 38.7% 43.9% 280.5%

Report Created:11/3/2016


## **Pension Payments**

### Description

This indicator depicts the relationship between the pension payments as a percentage of an agency's total revenues. Due to pending updates to pension reporting requirements, the Pension Payments indicator does not show data for 2015. GASB 68 revised and established new financial reporting for pensions effective for 2015. Effective beginning 2017, GASB 73 and GASB 82 amend GASB 68, although implementation may occur earlier. Therefore, updates to the Pension Payments indicator will not occur until full implementation of GASB 73 and GASB 82.

#### Formula: annual pension cost/total revenue

#### Source: Notes; Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance



2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
-	\$503,798	\$522,063	\$497,301	\$484,425
\$24,393,385	\$24,668,316	\$23,715,855	\$23,696,108	\$22,404,163
0.0%	2.0%	2.2%	2.1%	2.2%